
SECTION 1: SUMMARY OF RELEVANT INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| 1.1 | EXPERTS, ETHICS AND OPINIONS | 4 |
| 1.2 | OBJECTIVES OF THE WORKSHOP | 4 |
| 1.3 | BACKGROUND | 4 |
| 1.4 | MANUAL STRUCTURE | 5 |
| 1.5 | USE AND LIMITATIONS | 5 |
| 1.6 | COPYRIGHT | 5 |
| 1.7 | FURTHER INFORMATION | 5 |
| | | |
| 2 | THE CONSTRUCTION SITE SCENARIO | 6 |
| 2.1 | PLANNING PRINCIPLES AND TREES | 6 |
| 2.2 | IMPORTANT PEOPLE IN THE PLANNING SYSTEM | 7 |
| 2.2.1 | Developers | 7 |
| 2.2.2 | Regulatory authority | 7 |
| 2.2.3 | Architects | 7 |
| 2.2.4 | Other professionals | 7 |
| 2.2.5 | Arboriculturists | 8 |
| 2.3 | IMPORTANT TREE DOCUMENTS IN THE PLANNING SYSTEM | 8 |
| 2.4 | ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION | 9 |
| | | |
| 3 | TREE ASSESSMENT | 9 |
| 3.1 | GENERAL BACKGROUND | 9 |
| 3.1.1 | The nature of tree assessment in the planning process | 9 |
| 3.1.2 | BS5837 (2005) | 10 |
| 3.1.3 | Safe useful life expectancy (SULE) | 10 |
| 3.1.4 | Matheny & Clarke | 10 |
| 3.2 | INTRODUCTION TO TREEAZ AND ITS EVOLUTION | 11 |
| 3.3 | UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES OF TREEAZ | 11 |
| 3.3.01 | Unifying tree assessment methodology | 11 |
| 3.3.02 | The status of trees in the UK | 11 |
| 3.3.03 | Negative selection | 12 |
| 3.3.04 | Sustained amenity (Continuous Cover Arboriculture) | 13 |
| 3.3.05 | Amenity | 13 |
| 3.3.06 | Small trees | 13 |
| 3.3.07 | Groups of trees | 14 |
| 3.3.08 | Retention threshold | 14 |
| 3.3.09 | Hazard assessment | 15 |
| 3.3.10 | Nuisance and inconvenience | 15 |
| 3.3.11 | Categories | 16 |
| 3.3.12 | Applying these principles in practice | 16 |
| 3.4 | TREEAZ FOR CONSTRUCTION SITES | 16 |
| 3.4.1 | Summary of the method | 16 |
| 3.4.2 | Application in the field | 17 |
| 3.4.2.1 | Pre-assessment preparation | 17 |
| 3.4.2.2 | Field assessment | 17 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 4 | USING TREE INFORMATION IN THE DESIGN PROCESS | 18 |
| 4.1 | TEAM WORK | 18 |
| 4.2 | PRE-DESIGN | 18 |
| 4.2.1 | Land survey | 18 |
| 4.2.2 | Data collection | 18 |
| 4.2.3 | Types of constraints | 19 |
| 4.2.4 | TreeAZ and the tree constraints plan | 19 |
| 4.2.5 | Establishing zone 1 | 19 |
| 4.2.6 | Establishing zone 2 | 20 |
| 4.2.7 | Hand drawn plans v CAD | 20 |
| 4.3 | DESIGN | 21 |
| 4.3.1 | Fine tuning | 21 |
| 4.3.2 | Compromises and mitigation | 21 |
| 4.3.3 | The importance of level and drainage information | 21 |
| 4.3.4 | Arboricultural input into structural tree landscaping | 22 |
| 4.3.5 | Modern urban planting strategies | 22 |
| 4.3.6 | Designing out inconvenience and nuisance | 23 |
| 4.3.7 | Summary of design rules of thumb for trees | 23 |
| 4.3.8 | Tree protection plan | 23 |
| 4.3.9 | Arboricultural impact appraisal report | 24 |
| 4.4 | POST-DESIGN | 24 |
| 4.4.1 | Planning conditions/legal agreements | 24 |
| 4.4.2 | Arboricultural method statement | 25 |
| 4.4.3 | Protection and management issues | 26 |
| 4.4.3.1 | Fencing | 26 |
| 4.4.3.2 | Ground protection | 26 |
| 4.4.3.3 | Working within RPAs | 26 |
| 4.4.3.4 | New surfacing in RPAs | 27 |
| 4.4.3.5 | Custom designed structures in RPAs | 27 |
| 4.4.3.6 | Removal of existing surfacing and demolition of existing structures in RPAs | 28 |
| 4.4.3.7 | Services in RPAs | 28 |
| 4.4.3.8 | Landscaping in RPAs | 28 |
| 4.4.3.9 | Tree works | 28 |
| 4.4.4 | Implementation | 29 |
| 4.4.4.1 | Programme of tree protection (PTP) document | 29 |
| 4.4.4.2 | Pre-commencement meeting | 29 |
| 4.4.4.3 | Supervision and certification | 30 |
| 4.4.4.4 | Council enforcement/internal co-operation | 31 |
| 4.4.4.5 | Bonds | 31 |
| 5 | FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS | 31 |
| 5.1 | SUMMARY OF IMPORTANT ARBORICULTURAL ISSUES | 31 |
| 5.1.1 | Construction sites | 31 |
| 5.1.2 | General arboriculture | 32 |
| 5.2 | EVOLVING GOOD PRACTICE | 32 |
| 5.3 | TREEAZ USER GROUP | 33 |
| 5.4 | FUTURE EVENTS IN AUSTRALIA | 33 |

SECTION 2: USEFUL BACKGROUND REFERENCES

1. *Axeman to Expert Witness - is it possible?* – Barrell – essentialARB Issue 6 – 2004
2. *Trees and Development Conflicts: The Important of Advanced Planning and Site Control in Tree Preservation Plans* – O’Callaghan & Lawson – Trees and Building Sites – ISA – 1995 (Summary only)
3. *The challenges of planning for sustainable environments in the UK* - O’Callaghan - Arboricultural Journal, Vol 27, pp93–116 – 2003*
4. *APN1, BS 5837 & PPG3 Guidance for trees: Conflict or complement?* Nicholson – Arboricultural Journal Vol 25 pp 361–376 – 2001*
5. *BS 5837 (2005): Six months on – success or failure?* – Barrell – AA Newsletter – 2006
6. *Pre-development Tree Assessment* – Barrell – Trees and Building Sites – ISA – 1995
7. *Pre-planning tree surveys: Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) is the natural progression* – Barrell – AA Journal Vol 17 pp 33–46 – 1993
8. *The evolution of SULE to TreeAZ* – Barrell – ISAAC Newsletter – 2006 (Text only)
9. *Planning ahead* – Barrell – essentialARB Issue 9 – 2003
10. *TreeAZ: An international framework for tree assessment* – Barrell – essentialARB Issue 10 – 2003
11. *Tree assessment on development sites* – Barrell – essentialARB Issue 8 – 2003
12. *TreeAZ: An international framework for tree assessment* – Barrell – Paper awaiting publication – 2006
13. Draft Australian guidance note on practical TreeAZ assessment
14. Draft Australian TreeAZ Field Guide
15. Extract on establishing tree protective zones from *Trees & Development* – Matheny & Clarke – ISA – 1998†
16. RPA calculation method from BS 5837 (2005)
17. Illustrative specification for the supply and planting of semi-mature trees – Civic Trees
18. *Fastigate trees: fool’s gold or a winning strategy?* – Barrell – essentialARB Issue 13 – 2005
19. *Traditional urban planting strategies: time for change?* – Barrell – essentialARB Issue 17 – 2006
20. Illustrative UK Planning Condition
21. Illustrative protective barrier specifications – Barrell Tree Consultancy – 2006
22. Illustrative ground protection specifications – Barrell Tree Consultancy – 2006
23. Illustrative guidance note on working within RPAs – Barrell Tree Consultancy – 2006
24. Illustrative no-dig surfacing specifications – Barrell Tree Consultancy – 2006
25. *Keeping trees on development sites: is it possible?* Barrell & Wadey – essentialARB Issue 11 – 2004

* Articles reproduced from the Arboricultural Journal with kind permission of the authors and the Arboricultural Association (AA) (www.trees.org.uk) and AB Academic Publishers (PO Box 42, Bicester, Oxfordshire OX26 6NW). Membership of the AA includes subscription to the Journal.

† Extract reproduced from *Trees and Development: A Technical Guide to Preservation of Trees During Land Development* with kind permission of the authors, Matheny & Clarke, and the ISA (www.isa-arbor.com).

SECTION 3: PRACTICAL EXERCISE MATERIAL

1. **Tree assessment field exercise**
2. **Drawing a constraints plan desk exercise**
3. **Drawing a tree protection plan desk exercise**